

# **Developments in Global Aerosol Modelling**

Ken Carslaw, Graham Mann, Dominick Spracklen, Hannele Korhonen, Paul Manktelow, David Ridley, Joonas Merikanto, Martyn Chipperfield

UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

http://researchpages.net/glomap

# Introduction

NERC, NCAS and APPRAISE are supporting the development of advanced global aerosol models for climate and air quality research.

This poster describes some current model applications and future developments

The models are called GLOMAP – Global Model of Aerosol Processes

GLOMAP-mode: a fast size-resolved model using size modes is now incorporated in UM6.6

GLOMAP-bin: a bin-resolved model for very detailed studies of global aerosol

Both models run side by side in the TOMCAT CTM



Our aim is to make a strong connection between the future development of the UM (UKCA) aerosol scheme and new observations and process knowledge

There are many advantages of having the same aerosol schemes in a CTM and GCM



### Nucleation



Observed

Model, no DMS

Ande

### Contact k.s.carslaw@leeds.ac.uk

#### **Global CCN** Arctic Aerosol Using GLOMAP, we have uncovered major issues related Climate models haven't to long-range transport and wet previously calculated cloud deposition, possibly related to condensation nuclei mixed-phase clouds concentrations explicitly Here we make a fist comparison of model CCN with 20 years of measurements around the Dry dameter Inm world 100 1000 model CCN / cm<sup>-3</sup> 10000 Evaluation of such a fundamental aerosol quantity will improve our understanding of Model AOD compared to sun photometer measurements the indirect before and after optimising aerosol scavenging effects Korhonen et al., 2007 JGR in press.

# Global Sulphate Budget

We have used the GLOMAP-mode in the TOMCAT CTM to understand how changes in regional sulphur emissions impact the global sulphate aerosol budget.

Table shows 1985 budget and changes to 2000. Compare the changes in SO, emission and in-cloud oxidation in Europe and Asia.

	1985	% DIF	1985	% DIF	1985	<b>%</b> DIF
SO <sub>2</sub> Emission	2.8	-26.3	3.7	-47.4	3.36	31.5
Gas Phase Ox	0.34	-23	0.26	-50.0	0.42	44.1
In Cloud Ox	0.58	-9.2	0.68	-22.6	0.7	30.3
SO <sub>2</sub> Deposition	1.56	-30.1	1.96	-56.8	1.9	20.9
SO <sub>2</sub> Burden	2.8	-20.4	4.5	-53.9	4.1	13.9
Sulfate Burden	1.8	-16.9	3.2	- 31.5	2.6	27.7

Between 1985 and 2000 S emissions moved southward where SO, production is less oxidant limited. The 12% reduction in global SO<sub>2</sub> emissions between 1985 and 2000 caused only a 3% decrease in global sulfate.

Manktelow et al., Geophys. Res. Lett., 2007

## Other research

Core model development is supported by NCAS and APPRAISE, but other projects have significant input.

 In QUEST we will include new SOA schemes developed at Manchester.

•In SOLAS we are coupling GLOMAP to the halogen cycle.

•Through a Met Office CASE student we are studying DMS-dust-climate links.

•The GLOMAP CTM will be used in field campaigns (ADIENT, EUCAARI) in 2008, for which we are developing a regional zoom version of TOMCAT.

Want to get involved? The models will be available to the community through **APPRAISE and UKCA in 2008** 

# Marine DMS and CCN

SOLAS is funding this research. A global aerosol microphysics model is an ideal tool for working out what controls marine CCN concentrations. CCN at Cape Grim→

By switching off various processes we find that >90% of DMS-derived CCN in the Southern Ocean originate from the free troposphere. Growth of ultrafine sea sprav is

